

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,689	11/09/2001	Takeshi Ikuta	SN-US000588	5787
22919 7.	590 08/12/2003			
SHINJYU GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			EXAMINER	
			LANGDON, EVAN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
		DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



_	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/986,689	IKUTA, TAKESHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Evan H Langdon	3654

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

, ( · · · · ) e p	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 more	ths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) event, however, will the statutory p	the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no priod for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
ave been filed is the date for purposes of det 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the exp	37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any .704(b).
	on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in nsion thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s)	will not be entered because:
(a) X they raise new issues th	at would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of n	ew matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to issues for appeal; and/o	place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional	claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The limitatin re. le	vel of harnes clips and rod mount is an example of a new issue.
3. Applicant's reply has overco	ne the following rejection(s):
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended canceling the non-allowable</li> </ol>	claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment claim(s).
<ol> <li>The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhi application in condition for a</li> </ol>	bit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the llowance because:
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will N raised by the Examiner in th</li> </ol>	OT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly e final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the explanation of how the new	proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is	or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: 12 and 13.	
Claim(s) objected to: none.	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 3	<del>4-22</del> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from co	usideration: <u>none</u> .
8. The proposed drawing corre	tion filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	n Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	Kathy Matecki
	KATHY MATECKI
•	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)